



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/063,884	34 05/21/2002		Chien-Fa Wang	VIAP0035USA	2358	
27765	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
		A INTELLECTUAL	NGUYEN, VAN KIM T			
P.O. BOX 5 MERRIFIEI		22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	·		•	2151		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/063,884	WANG, CHIEN-FA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	a <u>y 2002</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	-	* *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				
	IJ Ouiei					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on August 29, 2005.

Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 1-16 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-8, 10-11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonno (US 6,404,739), in view of Comer (Internetworking with TCP/IP Volume I, Principles, Protocols, and Architecture, 1995).

As shown in Figures 1-9, Gonno discloses a method for transferring a program (data) via a network comprising a server (1, 101) and a plurality of terminals $(3_1-3_5, 103-107)$ connected to the server (col. 1: lines 40-55, and col. 5: lines 47-63), the terminals being capable of requesting the server to transfer the program (col. 5: lines 48-51), the server responding to the request of the terminals by broadcasting the program to the terminals (col. 5: line 61 – col. 6: line 5), the method comprising:

using a terminal (retransmission-request receiver) to request the server (transmitter) to retransfer the program (by sending retransmission request signals NAK) when the terminal receives only a portion of the program (when not successfully receive data) requested by another terminal instead of receiving the complete program during a timeout period (during a

Art Unit: 2151

predetermined time), (col. 2: lines 15-38, and col. 7: line 15 – col. 10: line 33, esp. col. 9: lines 6-39).

However, Gonno does not explicitly call for dynamically adjusting the timeout period of the terminal according to which portion of the program has been received by the terminal.

Comer discloses dynamically adjusting the timeout period of the terminal according to which portion of the program has been received by the terminal (e.g., using an adaptive retransmission algorithm to monitor the performance of each connection and dynamically deduces reasonable values for timeouts; Section 13.16 Timeout and Retransmission, pages 209-211).

Gonno and Comer teach analogous arts, relating to transmitting data in a network environment, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Comer's method of dynamically adjusting the time out period in Gonno's system, to accommodate retransmission of data due to loss and delay.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, the combination of Gonno and Comer also discloses when the server receives the request of the terminal, the server enables a thread to broadcast the program (Gonno: col. 6: lines 33-38, and col. 9: lines 29-39).

Gonno and Comer teach analogous arts, relating to transmitting data in a network environment, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Comer's method of dynamically adjusting the time out period in Gonno's system, to accommodate retransmission of data due to loss and delay.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, the combination of Gonno and Comer also discloses dividing the program into a plurality of data packets, the server transferring the program using the data

Page 4

packets (Gonno: col. 8: lines 59-64).

Gonno and Comer teach analogous arts, relating to transmitting data in a network environment, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Comer's method of dynamically adjusting the time out period in Gonno's system, to accommodate retransmission of data due to loss and delay.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, the combination of Gonno and Comer also discloses the terminals (103, 107) are information appliances (e.g., computers; Gonno: col. 1: lines 43-45).

Gonno and Comer teach analogous arts, relating to transmitting data in a network environment, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Comer's method of dynamically adjusting the time out period in Gonno's system, to accommodate retransmission of data due to loss and delay.

4. Claims 2, 5, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonno and Comer, as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, in view of Metz et al (US 5,666,293), hereinafter Metz.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, the combination of Gonno and Comer discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except the program is an operating system for the terminals.

As shown in Figures 1-9, Metz discloses downloading operating system software through a broadcast channel (abstract).

The combination of Gonno and Comer, and Metz teach analogous arts, both relating to a system and method for transmitting or downloading data via a broadcasting link. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Metz' method of downloading an operating system software via a broadcast channel in Gonno's system, motivated by the needs of efficiently distributing data to a plurality of users, i.e., reducing storage space required for storing operating system software at each of the plurality of users.

5. Regarding claims 5 and 12, the combination of Gonno and Comer discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, as applied to claims 4 and 11 above, except each of the data packets has the same size.

Metz also discloses each of the data packets has the same size (i.e., ATM cell; col. 12: lines 54-66).

The combination Gonno and Comer, and Metz teach analogous arts, both relating to a system and method for transmitting or downloading data via a broadcasting link. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Metz' method of using same sized data packets in Gonno's system, motivated by the desire to efficiently transmitting data over a network, i.e., savings bandwidth by sending ATM cells only when needed, not because a transmission time slot happened to be available.

6. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Gonno and Comer, as applied to claims 4 and 11 above, in view of Tran (US 5,453,987).

The combination of Gonno and Comer discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except the server broadcast the data packets sequentially in a fixed time interval.

As shown in Figures 4, Tran discloses the server broadcasts the data packets sequentially in a fixed time intervals (col. 3: lines 58-67).

The combination of Gonno and Comer, and Tran teach analogous arts, both relating to a system and method for transmitting data on a broadcast communication channel. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Tran's method of broadcasting data packets sequentially in a fixed time interval, in order to conforming to the TDM protocol and increasing the throughput of the data communication system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims are considered allowable when reading the claims none of the references of record singly or in combination disclose or suggest the combination limitations specified in the independent claims, including timeout period of the terminal is dynamically adjusted according

Art Unit: 2151

to the difference between the number of the highest numbered data packet not yet received by the terminal and the number of the received data packet.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,884

Art Unit: 2151

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Van Kim T. Nguyen

Page 8

Examiner

Art Unit 2151

vkn

ZARNI MAUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER